Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference TU 03 - 09 0 4 W 0	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/JP 03/12660	International filing date (day/month/year 02. (0. 2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 27. 01. 2003			
		1 27			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no	ational classification and IPC	0.20/-0			
Int. C/ c23C14/32	t, c22C1/04, c22	C 28/00			
Applicant	NIKKO MATERIALS CO., LTI).			
This report is the international prelin Authority under Article 35 and trans	ninary examination report, established by smitted to the applicant according to Article	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	sheets, including this cov	er sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied by	<u> </u>	2			
a. (sent to the applicant and	l to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the report					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain obser					
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completi	on of this report			
16. 03 2004		06 2004			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized offic				
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.				

INTERNATIONAL PI

MINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Intern	national application No.
	PCT/JP-03/12660

Box No.	Basis of the report	· .	
	egard to the language, this report is based on the i	nternational application in the language in which it w	as filed, unless
	This report is based on translations from the ori which is language of a translation furnished for th		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 2	23.1(b))	
	publication of the international application ((under Rule 12.4)	
ļ	international preliminary examination (unde	er Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
furnis	hed to the receiving Office in response to an invit re not annexed to this report):	lication, this report is based on (replacement sheet ation under Article 14 are referred to in this report	
	The international application as originally filed/fu	rnished	
	the description:		
	pages -3, 5-13	received by this Authority on 10.06.20	ginally filed/furnished
	pages*	received by this Authority on	
	the claims:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	9	as ori	ginally filed/furnished
	pages* 4	, as amended (together with any state	-
	pages* 6. 7	received by this Authority on (0. 06. 200	
	pages*	received by this Authority on	
	the drawings:		
	pages	, as ori	ginally filed/furnished
1	pages*	received by this Authority on	
	pages*	received by this Authority on	
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - sec	e Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
}			
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation	ı of:	
	the description, pages		
1		8	
	the drawings, sheets/figs		
1	the sequence listing (specify):		
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (spe		
	J () ()		
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) made, since they have been considered to go b (Rule 70.2(c)). the description, pages	the amendments annexed to this report and listed be beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the S	low had not been upplemental Box
	the claims, Nos.		
	the drawings, sheets/figs		
	the sequence listing (specify):		
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (spe		
1	Lany more (a) remaind to sequence fishing (spe	-957	
* If ite	n 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be may	rked "superseded."	·

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP 03/12660

ustrial applicability;

Statement			YES
Novelty (N)	Claims	4, 6, 7, 9	
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4, 6, 7, 9	YE
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	4 6, 7, 9	YE
massile -pp	Claims		NC

2. Citations and explanations

[SUMMARY]

The inventions described in claims 1, 4, 6, 7, and 9 have inventive step to the documents cited in the ISR. Any of the documents cited do not describe the points showing below;

The density variation of said target is within ±1.5%.

In X-ray diffraction, the ratio B/A of the maximum peak intensity A of Ge phase in a 2 θ range of 20° to 30° and of the maximum peak intensity B of GeCr compound phase in a 2 θ range of 30° to 40° is 0.18 or more.

Evenly dispersing and mixing Cr powder of 75 μ m or less, and Ge powder of 250 μ m or less and having a BET specific surface area of 0.4m²/g or less, and thereafter performing sintering.

A person skilled in the art cannot easily conceive those points.

第個欄 国際出願に対する意見

請求の範囲、明細書及び図面の明瞭性又は請求の範囲の明細書による十分な裏付についての意見を次に示す。

- (1)実施例1~3には、Cr粉の粒径について記載されているが、BET表面積について示されておらず、当該実施例と請求の範囲6および7に記載された発明との関係が不明瞭である。
- (2)請求の範囲9には、「請求の範囲第6項~第8項のそれぞれに記載」と記載されているが、上記記載によって引用する第8項は、請求の範囲に存在しない(削除されている)。よって、請求の範囲9は不明瞭である。

[SUMMARY]

- (1) In Examples 1 to 3, a grain size of Cr powder is described, but a BET surface area is not described. Accordingly, relation of the inventions described in the relevant Examples and claims 6 and 7 is not clear.
- (2) Term "according to any one of claims 6 to 8" is described in claim9, but the claim 8 does not exist in the claims (deleted). Accordingly, the claim 9 is not clear.